Acta Cryst. (1969). B25, 2114

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of the Alkaloid Cassipourine

BY G. GAFNER AND L. J. ADMIRAAL

Chemical Physics Group of the National Physical and National Chemical Research Laboratories, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Pretoria, South Africa

(Received 22 October 1968 and in revised form 13 December 1968)

The structure of one possible enantiomorph of the alkaloid cassipourine $(C_{14}H_{22}N_2S_4)$ has been determined from three-dimensional photographically recorded and visually measured X-ray data. The final R value is 0.13 after full-matrix anisotropic least-squares refinement. The molecule is found to consist of two pyrrolizidine units bonded by two S-S linkages and to possess a non-crystallographic twofold axis. The four sulphur and four carbon atoms in the central part of the molecule form a 1,2,5,6-tetrathiocyclo-octane ring system. The pyrrolizidine units comprise two pyrrolidine rings which can be brought into coincidence by rotation of one by 123° about their common C-N bond. The absolute configuration has been deduced by comparison of the conformation of parts of the pyrrolizidine units with those in two other alkaloids.

Introduction

The alkaloid cassipourine ($C_{14}H_{22}N_2S_4$) (CP) was isolated and studied chemically by Cooks, Warren & Williams (1967). They established that the molecule consisted of two pyrrolizidine groupings bonded by two S–S bridges and suggested the three possible molecular structures given in Fig. 1. Of these, the most probable structure was considered to be either (*a*) or (*b*) (Warren, 1964). The crystallographic investigation was undertaken to establish the structure and stereochemistry of the molecule.

Experimental

Crystals of the alkaloid and its dimethiodide were made available for structure analysis by Professor F. L. Warren. The quantities of both types were so small that recrystallization was precluded. The alkaloid crystals were needles of good quality but were exceedingly thin (diameter ~ 0.05 mm). Crystals of the alkaloid-dimethiodide were of much poorer quality and in the form of short needles. The cell constants were measured for both types of crystal from oscillation and Weissenberg photographs. The results obtained were as follows:

Alkaloid

 $\begin{array}{ll} a = 13.40 \pm 0.05 , & b = 12.18 \pm 0.05 , \\ (\text{needle axis}) \ c = & 5.06 \pm 0.04 \text{ Å}; & \beta = 100 \pm 1^{\circ} . \\ \text{Space group: } P2_1; \ Z = 2; \ \varrho = 1.42 \text{g.cm}^{-3} . \\ \text{Calculated formula weight: } 348.0, \\ \text{actual formula weight: } 346.6 . \end{array}$

Alkaloid-dimethiodide

 $d_{100} = 10.48 \pm 0.05, b = 14.74 \pm 0.05,$ (needle axis) $c = 7.28 \pm 0.05$ Å. Space group: $P2_1$; Z=2; $\rho = 1.85$ g.cm⁻³. Calculated formula weight: 626.8 actual formula weight: 628.5 Densities were measured by flotation in aqueous potassium mercuric iodide. The experimentally derived molecular wieghts of 348.0 and 344.9 (the latter from the alkaloid-dimethiodide after correction for the presence of the dimethiodide group) agree to within experimental error with the molecular weight of 346.6 calculated for $C_{14}H_{22}N_2S_4$.

Because of the superior quality of the unsubstituted alkaloid crystals and the fact that $\sum_{H} f_{H}^{2} / \sum_{L} f_{L}^{2} = 1.64$, these, rather than the alkaloid-dimethiodide crystals, were used for the structure analysis.

Intensities were recorded with the equi-inclination Weissenberg multiple-film technique and nickel-filtered copper radiation. With the c axis (needle axis) as rotation axis 1261 (h, k, l) reflexions with $0 \le l \le 4$ were recorded and their intensities measured visually. Of these, 207 had intensities below the detection limit, notwithstanding five day exposures, and the beam-stop obscured twelve small- θ reflexions. Lorentz and polarization and spot-shape corrections were applied to the intensities. Absorption corrections were obviated by the small crystal diameter (0.05 mm) and low absorption coefficient, which gave the μR value of 0.13. The



Fig. 1. Proposed structures for cassipourine.

e
~
6
4
1
g
ä
a
\$
-
e.
G
2
12
2
a
þ
~
õ
.5
31
2
9
10
-
B
ž
~
6
4
1
g
й
a
Š
S,
1
10
a
ž
8
2
~
a
ž
0
11
S
a
÷.
~
a
Ľ.
G
\sim
•
e
5
1
Ľ
_

d.s

The numbering scheme is shown in Fig. (3).

coordinates
Fractional

	U_{23}	-0.021 (3)	-0-026 (3)	0.017 (3)	0.002 (3)	-0-006 (9)	-0.030 (11)	-0.008 (12)	0.015 (10)	0-012 (15)	-0.014 (20)	0-026 (22)	-0.014 (11)	-0.028 (11)	-0.015 (12)	0.018 (13)	-0.017 (16)	-0-033 (15)	-0.017 (16)	-0.017 (16)	-0-028 (11)
	U_{13}	-0.014 (3)	-0.001 (3)	-0.013 (3)	0-030 (4)	-0.004 (9)	0-011 (11)	-0.032(11)	-0.004 (13)	0.002 (15)	-0.008 (21)	0.008 (16)	0.011 (12)	-0.014(9)	-0.003 (11)	-0.008 (11)	-0.002 (15)	0.007 (18)	-0.002 (15)	0-020 (14)	0.009 (10)
ration parameters	U_{12}	0.005 (3)	0.007(3)	-0·007 (4)	0.009(4)	-0.004(11)	-0.014(12)	0.016 (14)	0-018 (15)	-0.039(18)	-0.039 (22)	-0.036 (19)	-0.016(14)	0.004(20)	0.004 (13)	-0·009 (14)	-0.013(19)	-0.003 (16)	-0.013 (19)	-0-039 (15)	-0.012 (14)
Thermal vib	U_{33}	0.070 (4)	0.082 (4)	0-063 (4)	0.091(5)	0.042(11)	0.078 (14)	0.059 (14)	0.047 (14)	(0.079)	0.115 (26)	0.133(25)	0.027 (14)	0.048 (13)	0-063 (15)	0.067(16)	0.085 (18)	0.108(23)	0.085 (18)	0.118 (21)	0.035 (13)
	U_{22}	0.078 (4)	0.080 (4)	0.090(4)	0.072 (4)	0-084 (14)	0.083 (14)	0-085 (17)	0-061 (14)	0.094 (21)	0.091 (24)	0.122(26)	0-088 (17)	0.075 (15)	0-081 (17)	0.092 (19)	0.080(19)	0.062(16)	0.080 (18)	0.081(19)	0-081 (15)
	<i>U</i> ₁₁	0.068 (3)	0-068 (4)	0-071 (4)	0.090(4)	0-079 (13)	0-089 (14)	0-085 (15)	0-128 (23)	0.114(21)	0.144(28)	0-073 (17)	0.104(18)	0.073 (13)	0.076 (15)	0.074 (15)	0-126 (22)	0.133(26)	0.126 (22)	0.077 (16)	0-099 (16)
es		0.0780 (12)	0-1662 (12)	0.3856 (12)	0.1108(14)	-0.1537 (33)	0.5623(40)	0.0199(43)	-0.1251(41)	-0.1063(51)	0.1633 (64)	0.1820(65)	0-0318 (39)	0.2060(39)	0.2166 (42)	0-4475 (46)	0-3561 (53)	0-3107 (59)	0.5109(63)	0-4507 (58)	0-3266 (38)
actional coordinat	y/b	0.15	0-3053 (8)	0.1987(8)	0-0772 (7)	0-4441 (17)	-0.1050(19)	0.3221(22)	0-4350 (21)	0-5540 (25)	0.5556 (29)	0.4385 (29)	0-3715 (21)	0.3182(20)	-0-0025 (21)	-0.0819 (23)	-0.1957 (25)	-0.2579(23)	-0.2172(23)	-0.0270 (24)	0-0679 (21)
Fr	x/a	0.0583 (4)	0.1013(5)	0-3534 (4)	0.3203(5)	0-3269 (14)	0.1581(16)	0.2113(17)	0.2219(22)	0.3669(21)	0.4252(26)	0.4703(19)	0-3860 (19)	0.3145(16)	0.2189 (17)	0.2503(18)	0.2891(22)	0-1898 (23)	0.1367(24)	0.0779 (19)	0-1321 (17)
		S(1)	S(2)	S(3)	S(4)	(E)Z	N(2)	C(I)	C(2)	C(3)	C(4)	C(5)	C(6)	C(1)	C(8)	C(6)C	C(10)	C(11)	C(12)	C(13)	C(14)

five layer lines were scaled from common intensities on a 20° oscillation photograph.

Structure determination

The three-dimensional Patterson function was computed and interpretation initiated by use of the $(u, \frac{1}{2}, w)$ Harker section (Fig.2) which contains vectors of the type $(2x, \frac{1}{2}, 2z)$. The b cell constant is relatively small and the molecule would thus be expected to lie nearly parallel to (010). The double S-S bridge should approximate to a twisted rectangle with dimensions of 2 by at least 3.5 Å and which should also lie nearly parallel to (010). Eight of the ten strong peaks can be grouped into two such symmetry related sets, one of which is drawn into Fig. 2. When the y coordinates of these atoms are derived from non-Harker peaks in the Patterson map, the expected double S-S bridge geometry is confirmed. These trial sulphur coordinates were used to initiate the cyclic Fourier process which led to the structure of the whole molecule. Differentiation between carbon and nitrogen atoms was achieved after refinement of the B factors in a least-squares cycle in which all non-sulphur atoms were entered as carbon. The B factors of two of the atoms became negative on refinement, indicating that these were nitrogen.

Structure refinement

The positional and anisotropic thermal motion parameters and scale factors were refined by the full matrix least-squares program ORXLS of Busing & Levy which minimizes $\Sigma w(F_o - F_c)^2$, on an IBM computer. Equal weighting was used and refinement considered complete when all parameter changes were less than 0.2σ . Refinement of the layer line scale factors was alternated with appropriate thermal motion parameter refinement so as to optimize both while maintaining the experimentally established scale factor trend. The atomic scattering factors used were those of Hanson, Herman, Lea & Skillman (1964). Final atomic parameters and their estimated standard deviations are given in Table 1 with the U_{ij} 's from the expression

$$\exp\left[-2\pi^{2}(h^{2}a^{*2}U_{11}+k^{2}b^{*2}U_{22}+l^{2}c^{*2}U_{33}+2hk\ a^{*}b^{*}U_{12}+2\ kl\ b^{*}c^{*}U_{23}+2\ hl\ a^{*}c^{*}U_{13}\right]$$

Inclusion of hydrogen atoms in the refinement in positions deduced from the geometry of the rest of the molecule led to no significant reduction in the final R value of 0.13. Calculated and observed structure factors are compared in Table 2.

Molecular geometry

This analysis has shown that CP possesses the conformation shown in Fig. 1(c) with the terminal pyrrolidine ring as drawn in stippled lines. Its chemical name is thus 1α , $1'\beta$: 2β , $2'\alpha$ -(bisdithiodi- 8α -pyrrolizidine).

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors on $10 \times absolute$ scale

Unobserved reflexions are denoted by * and those obscured by the beamstop by -.

		U P P			H P P	нръ	нрр	нее
P P C	H P C	H F F	HP _o P _c	H F C	h r _o r _c	H Fo Fc	n ^e o ^e c	" ^r o ^r c
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 125 130 9 125 130 11 45 164 12 89 104 13 49 104 14 87 462 2 352 233 3 216 180 4 40 4 187 462 2 352 233 3 216 180 4 318 364 5 116 77 7 127 140 8 120 133 9 223 236 11 51 15 12 41 13 65 65 13 9 223 236 11 51 15 13 41 13 65 65 13 20 11 51 15 14 98 19 14 98 19 12 14 98 13 25 141 2 118 87 12 21 1 221 4 142 149 2 232 236 7 105 103 8 125 141 9 224 292 6 76 92 12 217 47 13 222 47 14 38 102 12 21 2 10 85 101 12 74 51 12 247 4 77 14 38 102 10 85 101 12 74 54 9 69 80 0 165 1152 2 425 218 2 180 77 7 47 54 8 9 66 1 76 92 2 18 107 7 47 54 8 9 66 1 76 197 7 7 47 54 8 9 66 1 70 82 2 425 11 0 14 104 1 214 264 2 258 285 3 180 2 42 1 18 11 0 16 77 83 8 49 64 K=3, L=1 0 14 104 1 214 264 2 258 285 3 49 48 9 30 64 47 K=9, 164 197 7 49 48 8 274 90 10 46 63 1 30 27* 1 24 4 51 0 10 46 63 1 30 27* 1 24 4 54 8 9 105 2 24* 29 3 24* 40 10 46 63 1 4 197 7 49 48 8 27* 10 0 1 4 76 95 8 49 48 2 7* 1 4 30 1 4 76 95 8 49 49 4 24* 46 6 27* 11 0 10 46 63 1 30 27* 1 24 1 49 54 8 27* 1 40 1 47 69 1 49 79 8 49 48 2 7* 1 40 1 47 69 1 47 79 1 47 75 8 49 49 3 22 4 71 8 1 47 77 1 5 24* 8 3 14 9 1 47 79 1 47 76 1 47 76	3 69 57 4 67 51 5 13 6 4 67 51 8 13. L=1 4 44 1 6, L=1 -3 583 594 -2 194 6214 -3 583 594 -4 367 737 -5 13* 38 -6 194 187 -7 127 112 -13 22* 7 -10 127 112 -13 22* 7 -10 127 112 -13 32 7 -10 127 112 -13 57 35 -2 194 214 -13 57 357 -5 13* 38 -6 194 187 -7 127 112 -13 57 35 -10 127 112 -11 82* 72 -12 24* 14 -13 51 35 -2 298 214 -13 51 27* 33 -2 298 314 -14 65 -2 298 314 -13 27* 33 -2 298 314 -14 75 -2 298 314 -11 76 59 -2 298 304 -11 76 59 -2 298 304 -11 76 59 -2 298 304 -11 76 59 -2 299 201 -4 415 -2 298 314 -1 185 -1 2 96 -2 299 201 -4 415 -6 267 -2 298 314 -1 185 -1 2 96 -2 298 32 -4 176 162 -6 85 -9 22 -7 130 228 -7 131 -0 17 -2 196 209 -0 128 -5 165 -1 128 -5 129 -6 120 -7 131 -0 14 49 52 -1 185 -1 126 -7 131 -0 7 14 -1 185 -1 126 -7 131 -0 7 14 -1 185 -7 141 -2 96 -7 131 -0 14 -7 145 -1 145 -1 47 -7 145 -7 145	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 153 140 4 51 44 5 67 44 6 64 51 1 60 41 8 70 66 9 64 49 10 64 52 11 26 20 12 34 32 0 178 173 6 49 10 76 2 199 176 4 115 9 23 6 49 34 7 66 4 115 9 23 1 12* 12 1 2. 24 3 126 109 7 55 2 10 8 69 67 3 05 1 106 99 9 37 35 1 106 99 1 3 78 78 5 64 57 7 24 26 8 59 1 44 11 2 54 49 3 78 78 5 64 87 7 24 26 8 59 1 2 72 2 22 2 22 5 28 29 3 30 2 7 79 3 3 50 1 2 72 3 30 2 7 79 3 3 50 1 2 72 5 7 14 1 38 30 1 2 54 48 7 7 24 2 80 71 3 78 78 5 64 87 7 24 26 8 79 1 2 72 5 7 14 1 38 30 1 2 54 49 3 78 78 5 14 1 2 24 2 24 8 79 1 2 24 8 79 1 2 24 2 2 2 1 2 112 2 24 1 2 112 2 24 1 2 112 2 24 1 2 112 2 24 1 2 112 2 30 1 2 12 1 2 112 2 30 1 2 12 1 2 112 2 30 1 2 12 1 2 112 2 30 1 3 12 1 2 12 1

Table 2 (cont.)

HF ₀ P _c	H P P c	н р р с	H P P C	H P P c	н р р _с	н р р с	н р р _с	H P P c
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

 Table 3. Intercomparison of mean bond lengths

Type of bond	Present analysis	Literature value	Reference
S-S	2·02 (1) Å	2.04 (1)	Tables of Interatomic Distances (1958)
S-C	1.78 (3)	1.81(1)	International Tables (1962)
C-C	1.53 (5)	1.54 (3)	International Tables (1962)
C–N	1.45 (3)	1.47 (1)	International Tables (1962)

The bond lengths and their e.s.d.'s and the atomic nomenclature are given in Fig. 3(a). Bond angles and their e.s.d.'s are given in Fig. 3(b) together with the distances between the atoms and the plane chosen to pass through the centres of the S-S bonds in such a way that the sum of these distances is zero. Averaged equivalent bond lengths are also given in Table 3.

Relatively large (0.05 Å) root-mean-square deviations from the mean have been found for equivalent C-C bonds in the present work. The results for two other pyrrolizidine alkaloids, designated thelepogine methiodide (TM) (Fridrichsons & Mathieson, 1963) and



Fig. 2. [010] Patterson projection.

jacobine bromhydrin (JB) (Fridrichsons, Mathieson & Sutor, 1963) which were analysed at -150 °C also contain unexpectedly large deviations. These are 0.03 Å for TM and 0.025 and 0.04 Å for the two independent molecules of JB. Intercomparison of bond-lengths and angles in the pyrrolizidine units of CP, TM and JB is, however, not warranted as those in the latter two have one C=C bond each and TM has a quaternary nitrogen atom. By contrast, the pyrrolizidine units in CP are saturated and have ternary nitrogen atoms.

The molecule possesses a non-crystallographic twofold axis which runs through the centres of the two S-S bonds. This follows from the equality of corresponding out-of-plane displacements (to 0.06 Å) and bond angles (to 4°), as shown in Fig. 3(b), and bond lengths (to 0.09 Å) as shown in Fig. 3(a). These differences from exact twofold symmetry are clearly mainly a result of experimental inaccuracies rather than packing forces and are not statistically significant. The four sulphur and four carbon atoms in the central part of the molecule form a 1,2,4,6-tetrathiocyclo-octane ring system. This is the first determination of the structure of such a ring system. The geometry of the pyrrolizidine units is best described as two pyrrolidine rings which come into coincidence when one is rotated about its common C–N bond by $123 \pm 1^{\circ}$. Each pyrrolidine ring consists of four essentially planar atoms, *e.g.* N(1), C(6), C(7), and C(2) with the fifth displaced by 0.59 ± 0.04 Å from the plane. The mean out-of-plane displacement of the sets of four nearly planar atoms is 0.03 ± 0.02 Å. The senses of these displacements are as follows: C(1) and C(11) below and C(4) and C(14) above the planes of the pyrrolidine rings.

The stereochemistry of one possible enantiomorph of CP is given in Fig. 4. Its absolute configuration has not been determined experimentally, but by comparison with models made of the corresponding pyrrolidine rings in the pyrrolizidine units in TM and JB whose absolute configurations have been determined, it can be deduced that the naturally occuring enantiomorph is very probably the mirror image of that given here.

Analysis of the rigid-body thermal motion has not been undertaken as the low accuracy of the data seemed not to warrant further processing.

Molecular packing

The molecules are arranged as shown in Fig.5 with each stack having its axis parallel to c and surrounded by six other stacks. Contact between molecules is exclusively by van der Waals interactions as evidenced by the distances listed in Table 4.

Table 4. Intermolecular distances < 4 Å with their e.s.d.'s. (bracketed) in 0.01 Å

The	Roman	numerals	represent	the	symmetry	operators	rel	e-
		vant to	the atoms	s list	ed second.			

Atom in	Atom in sym-	Symmetry	
parent	metry related	operator	Interatomic
nolecule	molecule	index	distance (Å)
S(1)	C(11)	III	3.73 (3)
S(1)	C(12)	III	3.95 (3)
S(1)	C(12)	IV	3.96 (4)
S(1)	C(13)	II	3.88 (3)
S(2)	C(2)	I	3.99 (3)
S(2)	C(12)	IV	3.84 (4)
S(2)	C(13)	IV	3.91 (3)
S(3)	C(4)	VI	3.83 (3)
S(3)	C(6)	I	3.85 (2)
S(3)	N(1)	I	3.85 (2)
S(4)	C(4)	v	3.91 (4)
S(4)	C(5)	v	3.79 (3)
S(4)	C(9)	II	3.85 (3)
S(4)	N(2)	II	3.91 (2)
C(3)	C(4)	II	3.91 (5)
C(4)	C(10)	VII	3.75 (5)
C(7)	N(1)	I	3.56 (3)
C(8)	N(2)	II	3.50 (3)
C(9)	C(5)	VI	3.89 (4)
C(10)	C(5)	VI	3.99 (4)
Index	Sym	metry operat	or
T	(x		1 + -)
ÎT	(x	<i>y</i> ,	(1+2) (-1+7)
11	(,,	у,	-1+2)



Fig. 3. (a) Atomic nomenclature and bond lengths, in Å, with their e.s.d.'s (bracketed) in 0.01 Å. (b) Bond angles and their e.s.d.'s (bracketed), in degrees, together with normal distances from the mean plane in 0.01 Å.







Fig. 5. Packing of molecules in [001] projection.

Ľ

Cryst. 16, 206.

(1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1075.

(1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 1040.

III. Birmingham: Kynoch press.

Table 4 (cont.)

Index	Sym	netry operat	or
III	(-x,	$\frac{1}{2} + v$	(-z)
IV	(-x,	$\frac{1}{2} + y$,	(1-z)
V	(1-x,	$-\frac{1}{2}+y$,	-z)
VI	(1-x,	$-\frac{1}{2}+y$,	1-z
VII	(<i>x</i> ,	$\overline{1} + y$,	z)
V 11	(,	1+y,	<i>z</i>)

References

Cooks, R. G., WARREN, F. L. & WILLIAMS, D. H. (1967). J. Chem. Soc. (C), p. 286.

Acta Cryst. (1969). B25, 2119

Crystal Structure of a Thymine-Thymine Adduct from Irradiated Thymine

BY ISABELLA L. KARLE

Laboratory for the Structure of Matter, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C. 20390, U.S.A.

(Received 26 November 1968)

Thymine irradiated in a frozen aqueous solution with ultraviolet light produces not only dimers which are cyclobutane derivatives but also a racemic thymine-thymine adduct,



 5α -hydroxy- 6α -4'-[5'-methylpyrimidin-2'-one]-dihydrothymine (one of the antipodes). The material crystallizes with one molecule of H₂O in the triclinic space group *P*I, with Z = 2 and $a = 9.44 \pm 0.02$, $b = 8.29 \pm 0.02$, $c = 7.57 \pm 0.02$ Å; $\alpha = 99.0 \pm 0.25^{\circ}$, $\beta = 91.5 \pm 0.25^{\circ}$ and $\gamma = 89.8 \pm 0.25^{\circ}$. The structure was solved by obtaining phases directly from the structure factor magnitudes with the use of the symbolic addition procedure. Ring I has the half-chair conformation while ring II is planar. The CH₃ group on C(5) and ring II on C(6) are both axial to ring I while the OH group on C(5) and the H atom on C(6) are equatorial. The dihedral angle between the planes of rings I and II is ~96^{\circ}. An extensive system of hydrogen bonding between the three C=O groups, the OH, two of the NH groups and the H₂O molecule binds the molecules together in the crystal.

Introduction

Ultraviolet irradiation of frozen aqueous solutions of thymine and of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) has yielded cyclobutane-type dimers of thymine (Wang, 1960, 1961; Beukers, IJlstra & Berends, 1960). Of the four possible cyclobutane-type dimers (Wulff & Fraenkel, 1961; Weinblum & Johns, 1966), detailed crystal structure analyses have been performed for the *syn* 5,5:6,6 type (Camerman & Camerman, 1968; Wei & Einstein, 1968; Adman, Gordon & Jensen, 1968), for the *syn* 5,6:5,6 type (Camerman, Weinblum & Nyburg, 1968) and the anti 5,6:5,6 type (Camerman, Nyburg & Weinblum, 1967; Einstein, Hosszu, Longworth, Rahn & Wei, 1967).

A different kind of thymine-thymine product has been isolated from an ultraviolet-irradiated frozen solution of thymine (Varghese & Wang, 1968). Spectroscopic evidence supported the adduct structure:

FRIDRICHSONS, J. & MATHIESON, A. MCL. (1963). Acta

FRIDRICHSONS, J., MATHIESON, A. MCL. & SUTOR, D. J.

HANSON, H. P., HERMAN, F., LEA, J. D. & SKILLMAN, S.

International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962), Vol.

Tables of Interatomic Distances and Configuration in Mole-

cules and Ions (1958). London: The Chemical Society.

WARREN, F. L. (1964). Private communication.



The X-ray diffraction analysis confirmed the structural formula with the possibility that an H atom exists on N(13) rather than N(11), and established the stereoconfiguration of the molecule. This type of photoreaction may be of considerable significance in the photobiology of nucleic acids. A preliminary account of the